CS 70 Discrete Mathematics and Probability Theory Fall 2016 Seshia and Walrand HV

1. Sundry

Before you start your homework, write down your team. Who else did you work with on this homework? List names and email addresses. (In case of hw party, you can also just describe the group.) How did you work on this homework? Working in groups of 3-5 will earn credit for your "Sundry" grade.

- 2. (5 points) Prove that $1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n)^2$ for all integers n > 0.
- 3. (10 points) A Tricky Game
 - (a) (10 points) CS 70 course staff invite you to play a game: Suppose there are n^2 coins in a $n \times n$ grid (n > 0), each with their heads side up. In each move, you can pick one of the *n* rows or columns and flip over all of the coins in that row or column. However, you are not allowed to re-arrange them in any other way. You have an unlimited number of moves. If you happen to reach a configuration where there is exactly one coin with its tails side up, you will get an extra credit. Are you able to win this game? Does that apply to all *n*? Prove your answer.
 - (b) (Optional) Now, suppose we change the rules: If the number of "tails" is between 1 and n-1, you win. Are you able to win this game? Does that apply to all *n*? Prove your answer.

4. (10 pts) Making big rocks into little rocks

One day, you find a shady-looking flyer on Sproul that advertises an undergraduate research position in the Stanford geology department. After you make your way down to the Farm, Dr. Hoover, the professor in charge, hands you the following assignment to keep you busy for the first year, complaining that none of the Stanford undergrads have been able to help him:

You are given a single large pile of pebbles to start with. Every day, you have pick one pile of pebbles and split it into two smaller piles any way you wish. Then, you have to count the number of pebbles in each of the two new piles you just created (k and m), and write down the product $k \times m$ in your lab notebook. Of course, over time, you end up with more and more piles which get smaller and smaller. You get to stop when each pebble is in its own pile. Once you stop, add up all the numbers you wrote down and report them to Dr. Hoover. The following figure shows an example of the process.



Convince Dr. Hoover (and his funding agency) that this ¹ is a pointless exercise: no matter how you split the piles, your answer will come out to n(n-1)/2, where *n* is the number of pebbles in the original pile (which you can just count on the first day).

5. (10 points) Prove that for every positive integer k, the following is true:

For every real number r > 0, there are only finitely many solutions in positive integers to $\frac{1}{n_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_k} = r$.

In other words, there exists some number m (that depends on k and r) such that there are at most m ways of choosing a positive integer n_1 , and a (possibly different) positive integer n_2 , etc., that satisfy the equation.

6. (15 points) Be a Judge

For each of the following statements about the traditional stable marriage algorithm with men proposing, indicate whether the statement is True or False and justify your answer with a short 2-3 line explanation:

(a) (3 points) In a stable marriage algorithm execution which takes *n* days, there is a woman who did not receive a proposal on the (n-1)th day.

¹The research assignment, not this homework problem!

- (b) (3 points) There is a set of preferences for *n* men and *n* women, such that in a stable marriage algorithm execution every man ends up with his least preferred woman.
- (c) (3 points) In a stable marriage instance, if man M and woman W each put each other at the top of their respective preference lists, then M must be paired with W in every stable pairing.
- (d) (3 points) In a stable marriage instance with at least two men and two women, if man M and woman W each put each other at the bottom of their respective preference lists, then M cannot be paired with W in any stable pairing.
- (e) (3 points) For every n > 1, there is a stable marriage instance with n men and n women which has an unstable pairing in which every unmatched man-woman pair is a rogue couple.

7. (18 points) Stable Marriage

Consider a set of four men and four women with the following preferences:

men	preferences	women	preferences
A	1>2>3>4	1	D>A>B>C
В	1>3>2>4	2	A>B>C>D
C	1>3>2>4	3	A>B>C>D
D	3>1>2>4	4	A>B>D>C

- (a) (3 points) Run on this instance the stable matching algorithm presented in class. Show each stage of the algorithm, and give the resulting matching, expressed as $\{(M, W), \ldots\}$.
- (b) (5 points) We know that there can be no more than n^2 stages of the algorithm, because at least one woman is deleted from at least one list at each stage. Can you construct an instance with *n* men and *n* women so that $c \cdot n^2$ stages are required for some respectably large constant *c*? (We are looking for a *general pattern* here, one that results in $c \cdot n^2$ stages for any *n*.)
- (c) (10 points) Suppose we relax the rules for the men, so that each unpaired man proposes to the next woman on his list at a time of his choice (some men might procrastinate for several days, while others might propose and get rejected several times in a single day). Can the order of the proposals change the resulting pairing? Give an example of such a change or prove that the pairing that results is the same.

8. (18 points) Combining Stable Marriages

In this problem we examine a simple way to *combine* two different solutions to a stable marriage problem. Let R, R' be two distinct stable matchings. Define the new matching $R \wedge R'$ as follows:

For every man *m*, *m*'s date in $R \wedge R'$ is whichever is better (according to *m*'s preference list) of his dates in *R* and *R*'.

men	preferences	women	preferences
A	1>2>3>4	1	D>C>B>A
В	2>1>4>3	2	C>D>A>B
C	3>4>1>2	3	B>A>D>C
D	4>3>2>1	4	A>B>D>C

Also, we will say that a man/woman *prefers* a matching R to a matching R' is he/she prefers his/her date in R to his/her date in R'. We will use the following example:

- (a) (3 points) $R = \{(A,4), (B,3), (C,1), (D,2)\}$ and $R' = \{(A,3), (B,4), (C,2), (D,1)\}$ are stable matchings for the example given above. Calculate $R \wedge R'$ and show that it is also stable.
- (b) (5 points) Prove that, for any matchings R, R', no man prefers R or R' to $R \wedge R'$.
- (c) (5 points) Prove that, for any stable matchings R, R' where *m* and *w* are dates in *R* but not in R', one of the following holds:
 - m prefers R to R' and w prefers R' to R; or
 - m prefers R' to R and w prefers R to R'.

[Hint: Let *M* and *W* denote the sets of mens and women respectively that prefer *R* to *R'*, and *M'* and *W'* the sets of men and women that prefer *R'* to *R*. Note that |M| + |M'| = |W| + |W'|, where |S| denotes the size of set *S*. (Why is this?) Show that $|M| \le |W'|$ and that $|M'| \le |W|$. Deduce that |M'| = |W| and |M| = |W'|. The claim should now follow quite easily.]

(You may assume this result in subsequent parts even if you don't prove it here)

(d) (5 points) Prove an interesting result: for any stable matchings R, R', (i) $R \wedge R'$ is a matching [Hint: use the results from (c)], and (ii) it is also stable.