

Barber paradox.

Created by logician Bertrand Russell.

Village with just 1 barber, all men clean-shaven.

Barber announces:

"I shave all and only those men who do not shave themselves."

Who shaves the barber?

Case 1: It's the barber.

Case 2: Somebody else.

Cannot answer that question in either case! Paradox!!!

Russell's Paradox.

Naive Set Theory: Any definable collection is a set.

$$\exists y \forall x (x \in y \iff P(x)) \quad (1)$$

y is the set of elements that satisfies the proposition $P(x)$.

$$P(x) = x \notin x.$$

There exists a y that satisfies statement 1 for $P(\cdot)$.

Take $x = y$.

$$y \in y \iff y \notin y.$$

Oops!

Is this stuff actually useful?

Verify that my program is correct!

Check that the compiler works!

How about.. Check that the compiler terminates on a certain input.

$HALT(P, I)$

P - program

I - input.

Determines if $P(I)$ (P run on I) halts or loops forever.

Notice:

Need a computer

...with the notion of a stored program!!!!

(not an adding machine! not a person and an adding machine.)

Program is a text string.

Text string can be an input to a program.

Program can be an input to a program.

Implementing HALT.

$HALT(P, I)$

P - program

I - input.

Determines if $P(I)$ (P run on I) halts or loops forever.

Run P on I and check!

How long do you wait?

Halt does not exist.

$HALT(P, I)$

P - program

I - input.

Determines if $P(I)$ (P run on I) halts or loops forever.

Theorem: There is no program HALT.

Proof Idea: Proof by contradiction, use self-reference.

Halt and Turing.

Proof: Assume there is a program $HALT(\cdot, \cdot)$.

$Turing(P)$

1. If $HALT(P, P)$ = "halts", then go into an infinite loop.

2. Otherwise, halt immediately.

Assumption: there is a program HALT.

There is text that "is" the program HALT.

There is text that is the program Turing.

Can run Turing on Turing!

Does $Turing(Turing)$ halt?

$Turing(Turing)$ halts

\implies then $HALT(Turing, Turing)$ = halts

$\implies Turing(Turing)$ loops forever.

$Turing(Turing)$ loops forever

\implies then $HALT(Turing, Turing) \neq$ halts

$\implies Turing(Turing)$ halts.

Contradiction. Program HALT does not exist! □

Another view of proof: diagonalization.

Any program is a fixed length string.
Fixed length strings are enumerable.
Program halts or not any input, which is a string.

	P_1	P_2	P_3	...
P_1	H	H	L	...
P_2	L	L	H	...
P_3	L	H	H	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Halt(P,P) - diagonal.

Turing - is **not** Halt.

and is different from every P_i on the diagonal.

Turing is not on list. Turing is not a program.

Turing can be constructed from Halt.

Halt does not exist! □

Turing machine.

A Turing machine.

- an (infinite) tape with characters
- be in a state, and read a character
- move left, right, and/or write a character.

Universal Turing machine

- an interpreter program for a Turing machine
- where the tape could be a description of a ... **Turing machine!**

Now that's a computer!

Church, Gödel and Turing.

Church proved an equivalent theorem. (Previously.)

Used λ calculus....which is... a programming language!!!

Just like Python, C, Javascript,

Gödel: Incompleteness theorem.

Any formal system either is inconsistent or incomplete.

Inconsistent: A false sentence can be proven.

Incomplete: There is no proof for some sentence in the system.

Along the way: "built" computers out of arithmetic.

Showed that every mathematical statement corresponds to an
....natural number!!!!

Summary: computability.

Computer Programs are interesting objects.

Mathematical objects.

Formal Systems.

Computer Programs cannot completely "understand" computer programs.

Example: no computer program can tell if any other computer program HALTS.

Proof Idea: Diagonalization.

Program: Turing (or DIAGONAL) takes P .

Assume there is HALT.

DIAGONAL flips answer.

Loops if P halts, halts if P loops.

What does Turing do on turing? Doesn't loop or HALT.

HALT does not exist! □

More on this topic in CS 172.

Computation is a lens for other action in the world.