

Midterm 2 Review.

Midterm Topics: Notes 6-14.

Modular Arithmetic. Inverses. GCD/Extended-GCD.

RSA/Cryptography.

Polynomials.

Secret Sharing.
Erasure Resistant Encoding.
Error Correction.

Counting.

Countability.

Computability.

Probability Topics covered by Prof. Walrand.

Fermat/RSA

Fermat's Little Theorem: For prime p , and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

RSA:

$N = p, q$
 e with $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$.
 $d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$.

Theorem: $x^{ed} = x \pmod{N}$

Proof:

$x^{ed} - x$ is divisible by p and $q \implies$ theorem!

$$x^{ed} - x = x^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1} - x = x((x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} - 1)$$

If x is divisible by p , the product is.

Otherwise $(x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Fermat.
 $\implies (x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} - 1$ divisible by p .

Similarly for q . □

Midterm format

Time: 120 minutes

Will broadly follow Midterm1 format:
mix of short and longer questions

Prep/Exam Strategy:

plan out sequence of questions...
solve problems with a time bound

Proofs, algorithms, properties.

Some mild calculation (no calculators needed though!).

Be familiar with Midterm1 topics... but MT2 will focus on Notes 6-14.

Polynomials

Property 1: Any degree d polynomial over a field has at most d roots.

Proof Idea:

Any polynomial with roots r_1, \dots, r_k .
written as $(x - r_1) \cdots (x - r_k) Q(x)$.
using polynomial division.

Degree at least the number of roots. □

Property 2: There is exactly 1 polynomial of degree $\leq d$ with arithmetic modulo prime p that contains any $d+1$:
 $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ with x_i distinct.

Proof Ideas:

Lagrange Interpolation gives existence.

Property 1 gives uniqueness. □

Modular Arithmetic Inverses and GCD

x has inverse modulo m if and only if $\gcd(x, m) = 1$.

Proof Idea:

$\{0x, \dots, (m-1)x\}$ are distinct modulo m if and only if $\gcd(x, m) = 1$.

Finding gcd.

$$\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, x - y) = \gcd(y, x \pmod{y}).$$

Extended-gcd(x, y) returns (d, a, b)

$$d = \gcd(x, y) \text{ and } d = ax + by$$

Multiplicative inverse of (x, m) .

$$\text{egcd}(x, m) = (1, a, b)$$

$$a \text{ is inverse! } 1 = ax + bm = ax \pmod{m}.$$

Applications.

Property 2: There is exactly 1 polynomial of degree $\leq d$ with arithmetic modulo prime p that contains any $d+1$:
 $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$ with x_i distinct.

Secret Sharing: k out of n people know secret.

Scheme: degree $k-1$ polynomial, $P(x)$.

Secret: $P(0)$ **Shares:** $(1, P(1)), \dots, (n, P(n))$.

Recover Secret: Reconstruct $P(x)$ with any k points.

Erasure Coding: n packets, k losses.

Scheme: degree $n-1$ polynomial, $P(x)$.

Message: $P(0) = m_0, P(1) = m_1, \dots, P(n-1) = m_{n-1}$

Send: $(0, P(0)), \dots, (n+k-1, P(n+k-1))$.

Recover Message: Any n packets are sufficient by property 2.

Corruptions Coding: n packets, k corruptions.

Scheme: degree $n-1$ polynomial, $P(x)$. **Reed-Solomon.**

Message: $P(0) = m_0, P(1) = m_1, \dots, P(n-1) = m_{n-1}$

Send: $(0, P(0)), \dots, (n+2k-1, P(n+2k-1))$.

Recovery: $P(x)$ is only consistent polynomial with $n+k$ points.

Property 2 and pigeonhole principle.

Berlekamp-Welch

Idea: Error locator polynomial of degree k with zeros at errors.

For all points $i = 1, \dots, i, n+2k$, $P(i)E(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$
 since $E(i) = 0$ at points where there are errors.

Let $Q(x) = P(x)E(x)$.

$$Q(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + \dots + a_0.$$

$$E(x) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + b_0.$$

Gives system of $n+2k$ linear equations.

$$a_{n+k-1} + \dots + a_0 \equiv R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p}$$

$$a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots + a_0 \equiv R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p}$$

\vdots

$$a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots + a_0 \equiv R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p}$$

..and $n+2k$ unknown coefficients of $Q(x)$ and $E(x)$!

Solve for coefficients of $Q(x)$ and $E(x)$.

$$\text{Find } P(x) = Q(x)/E(x).$$

Countability

Isomorphism principle.
 Countable and Uncountable.
 Enumeration
 Diagonalization.

Isomorphism principle.

Given a function, $f : D \rightarrow R$.

One to One:

For all $\forall x, y \in D$, $x \neq y \implies f(x) \neq f(y)$.

or

$\forall x, y \in D$, $f(x) = f(y) \implies x = y$.

Onto: For all $y \in R$, $\exists x \in D$, $y = f(x)$.

$f(\cdot)$ is a **bijection** if it is one to one and onto.

Isomorphism principle:

If there is a bijection $f : D \rightarrow R$ then $|D| = |R|$.

Cardinalities of uncountable sets?

Cardinality of $[0, 1]$ smaller than all the reals?

$f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \leq x \leq 1/2 \\ \frac{1}{4x} & x > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

One to one. $x \neq y$

If both in $[0, 1/2]$, a shift $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$.

If neither in $[0, 1/2]$ different mult inverses $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$.

If one is in $[0, 1/2]$ and one isn't, different ranges $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Bijection!

$[0, 1]$ is same cardinality as nonnegative reals!

Countable.

Definition: S is **countable** if there is a bijection between S and some subset of \mathbb{N} .

If the subset of \mathbb{N} is finite, S has finite **cardinality**.

If the subset of \mathbb{N} is infinite, S is **countably infinite**.

Bijection to or from natural numbers implies countably infinite.

Enumerable means countable.

Subset of countable set is countable.

All countably infinite sets are the same cardinality as each other.

Examples

Countably infinite (same cardinality as naturals)

- ▶ E even numbers.
Where are the odds? Half as big?
Bijection: $f(e) = e/2$.
- ▶ \mathbb{Z} - all integers.
Twice as big?
Enumerate: $0, -1, 1, -2, 2, \dots$

Examples: Countable by enumeration

- ▶ $N \times N$ - Pairs of integers.
Enumerate: $(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), \dots$???
Never get to $(1, 1)$!
Enumerate: $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), \dots$
 (a, b) at position $(a+b+1)(a+b+2)/2$ in this order.
- ▶ Positive Rational numbers.
Infinite Subset of pairs of natural numbers.
Countably infinite.
- ▶ All rational numbers.
Enumerate: list 0, positive and negative. How?
Enumerate: 0, first positive, first negative, second positive..
Will eventually get to any rational.

Halt does not exist.

$HALT(P, I)$
 P - program
 I - input.

Determines if $P(I)$ (P run on I) halts or loops forever.

Theorem: There is no program HALT.

Diagonalization: power set of Integers.

The set of all subsets of N .

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L , that contains all subsets of N .

Define a diagonal set, D :

If i th set in L does not contain i , $i \in D$.
otherwise $i \notin D$.

D is different from i th set in L for every i .

$\implies D$ is not in the listing.

D is a subset of N .

L does not contain all subsets of N .

Contradiction.

Theorem: The set of all subsets of N is not countable.
(The set of all subsets of S , is the **powerset** of N .)

Halt and Turing.

Theorem: There is no program HALT.

Proof: Assume there is a program $HALT(\cdot, \cdot)$.

$Turing(P)$

1. If $HALT(P, P) = \text{"halts"}$, then go into an infinite loop.
2. Otherwise, halt immediately.

Assumption: there is a program HALT.

There is text that "is" the program HALT.

There is text that is the program Turing.

Can run Turing on Turing!

Does $Turing(Turing)$ halt?

$Turing(Turing)$ halts

\implies then $HALTS(Turing, Turing) = \text{halts}$

$\implies Turing(Turing)$ loops forever.

$Turing(Turing)$ loops forever.

\implies then $HALTS(Turing, Turing) \neq \text{halts}$

$\implies Turing(Turing)$ halts.

Either way is contradiction. Program HALT does not exist! \square

Uncomputability.

Halting problem is undecidable (not solvable by computer).

Diagonalization.

Another view: diagonalization.

Any program is a fixed length string.
Fixed length strings are enumerable.
Program halts or not any input, which is a string.

	P_1	P_2	P_3	...
P_1	H	H	L	...
P_2	L	L	H	...
P_3	L	H	H	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Halt - diagonal.

Turing - is **not** Halt.

and is different from every P_i on the diagonal.

Turing is not on list. Turing is not a program.

Turing can be constructed from Halt.

Halt does not exist!

Undecidable problems.

Does a program print "Hello World"?
 Find exit points of arbitrary program to test for halting
 and add statement: **Print** "Hello World."
 Does a program halt in 1000 steps?
 Decidable! Just run it for 1000 steps and see if it terminates.
 Be careful!

Summary.

k Samples with replacement from n items: n^k .
 Sample without replacement: $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$
 Sample without replacement and order doesn't matter: $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$.
 "n choose k"
 (Count using first rule and second rule.)
 Sample with replacement and order doesn't matter: $\binom{k+n-1}{n-1}$.
 Count with stars and bars:
 how many ways to add up n numbers to get k .
 Each number is number of samples of type i which adds to total, k .

Counting


First Rule
 Second Rule
 Stars/Bars
 Common Scenarios: Sampling, Balls in Bins.
 Sum Rule. Inclusion/Exclusion.
 Combinatorial Proofs.

Simple Inclusion/Exclusion

Sum Rule: For disjoint sets S and T , $|S \cup T| = |S| + |T|$
Example: How many permutations of n items start with 1 or 2?
 $1 \times (n-1)! + 1 \times (n-1)!$
Inclusion/Exclusion Rule: For any S and T ,
 $|S \cup T| = |S| + |T| - |S \cap T|$.
Example: How many 10-digit phone numbers have 7 as their first or second digit?
 S = phone numbers with 7 as first digit. $|S| = 10^9$
 T = phone numbers with 7 as second digit. $|T| = 10^9$.
 $S \cap T$ = phone numbers with 7 as first and second digit. $|S \cap T| = 10^8$.
 Answer: $|S| + |T| - |S \cap T| = 10^9 + 10^9 - 10^8$.

Example: visualize.

First rule: $n_1 \times n_2 \cdots \times n_3$. **Product Rule.**
Second rule: when order doesn't matter..when possible.



3 card Poker deals: $52 \times 51 \times 50 = \frac{52!}{49!}$. First rule.
 Poker hands: Δ ?
 Hand: Q, K, A.
 Deals: Q, K, A, Q, A, K, K, A, Q, K, A, Q, A, K, Q, A, Q, K.
 $\Delta = 3 \times 2 \times 1$ First rule again.
 Total: $\frac{52!}{49!3!}$ Second Rule!
 Choose k out of n .
 Ordered set: $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$
 What is Δ ? $k!$ First rule again.
 \Rightarrow Total: $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$ Second rule.

Combinatorial Proofs.

Theorem: $\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}$.
Proof: How many size k subsets of $n+1$? $\binom{n+1}{k}$.
 How many size k subsets of $n+1$?
 How many contain the first element?
 Chose first element, need to choose $k-1$ more from remaining n elements.
 $\Rightarrow \binom{n}{k-1}$
 How many don't contain the first element?
 Need to choose k elements from remaining n elts.
 $\Rightarrow \binom{n}{k}$
 So, $\binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n+1}{k}$. □

Wrapup.

Watch Piazza for Logistics!

Watch Piazza for Advice!

Note your Midterm2 room assignments!!!

Other issues....

Email logistics@eecs70.org

Private message on piazza.

Good Studying and Good Luck!!!